## LECTURE 17

## DIRECT SOLUTIONS TO LINEAR SYSTEMS OF ALGEBRAIC EOUATIONS

- Solve the system of equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{B} \\
\Rightarrow \\
{\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & a_{1,4} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & a_{2,4} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & a_{3,4} \\
a_{4,1} & a_{4,2} & a_{4,3} & a_{4,4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3} \\
b_{4}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

- The solution is formally expressed as:

$$
\boldsymbol{X}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}
$$

- Typically it is more efficient to solve for $\boldsymbol{X}$ directly without solving for $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ since finding the inverse is an expensive (and less accurate) procedure
- Types of solution procedures
- Direct Procedures
- Exact procedures which have infinite precision (excluding roundoff error)
- Suitable when $\mathbf{A}$ is relatively fully populated/dense or well banded
- A predictable number of operations is required
- Indirect Procedures
- Iterative procedures
- Are appropriate when $\mathbf{A}$ is
- Large and sparse but not tightly banded
- Very large (since roundoff accumulates more slowly)
- Accuracy of the solution improves as the number of iterations increases


## Cramer's Rule - A Direct Procedure

- The components of the solution $X$ are computed as:

$$
x_{k}=\frac{\left|\mathbf{A}_{k}\right|}{|\mathbf{A}|}
$$

where
$\mathbf{A}_{k}$ is the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ with its $k^{\text {th }}$ column replaced by vector $\boldsymbol{B}$
$|\mathbf{A}|$ is the determinant of matrix $\mathbf{A}$

- For each $\boldsymbol{B}$ vector, we must evaluate $N+1$ determinants of size $N$ where $N$ defines the size of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$
- Evaluate a determinant as follows using the method of expansion by cofactors

$$
|\mathbf{A}|=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{I, j}\left[\operatorname{cof}\left(a_{I, j}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i, J}\left[\operatorname{cof}\left(a_{i, J}\right)\right]
$$

where
$I=$ specified value of $i$
$J=$ specified value of $j$

$$
\operatorname{cof}\left(a_{i, j}\right)=(-1)^{i+j}\left(\operatorname{minor}\left(a_{i, j}\right)\right)
$$

minor $\left(a_{i, j}\right)=$ determinant of the sub-matrix obtained by deleting the $i^{\text {th }}$ row and the $j^{\text {th }}$ column

- Procedure is repeated until $2 \times 2$ matrices are established (which has a determinant by definition):

$$
|\mathbf{A}|=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2}
\end{array}\right]=a_{1,1} a_{2,2}-a_{2,1} a_{1,2}
$$

## Example

- Evaluate the determinant of $\mathbf{A}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}[\mathbf{A}]=|\mathbf{A}|=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}[\mathbf{A}]= a_{1,1}(-1)^{(1+1)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \\
a_{3,2} & a_{3,3}
\end{array}\right]+ \\
&+a_{1,2}(-1)^{(1+2)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2,1} & a_{2,3} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,3}
\end{array}\right] \\
&+a_{1,3}(-1)^{(1+3)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2}
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \\
& \operatorname{det}[\mathbf{A}]=a_{1,1}(+1)\left(a_{2,2} a_{3,3}-a_{3,2} a_{2,3}\right)+a_{1,2}(-1)\left(a_{2,1} a_{3,3}-a_{3,1} a_{2,3}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
&+a_{1,3}(+1)\left(a_{2,1} a_{3,2}-a_{3,1} a_{2,2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Note that more efficient methods are available to compute the determinant of a matrix. These methods are associated with alternative direct procedures.
- This evaluation of the determinant involves $O(N)^{3}$ operations
- Number of operations for Cramers' Rule $O(N)^{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \times 2 \text { system } \Rightarrow O\left(2^{4}\right)=O(16) \\
& 4 \times 4 \text { system } \Rightarrow O\left(4^{4}\right)=O(256) \\
& 8 \times 8 \text { system } \Rightarrow O\left(8^{4}\right)=O(4096)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cramer's rule is not a good method for very large systems!
- If $|\mathbf{A}|=0$ and $\left|\mathbf{A}_{k}\right| \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$ no solution! The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is singular
- If $|\mathbf{A}|=0$ and $\left|\mathbf{A}_{k}\right|=0 \Rightarrow$ infinite number of solutions!


## Gauss Elimination - A Direct Procedure

- Basic concept is to produce an upper or lower triangular matrix and to then use backward or forward substitution to solve for the unknowns.


## Example application

- Solve the system of equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Divide the first row of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ by $a_{1,1}$ (pivot element) to get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{1,2}^{\prime} & a_{1,3}^{\prime} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1}^{\prime} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Now multiply row 1 by $a_{2,1}$ and subtract from row 2
and then multiply row 1 by $a_{3,1}$ and subtract from row 3

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & a_{1,2}^{\prime} & a_{1,3}^{\prime} \\
0 & a_{2,2}^{\prime} & a_{2,3}^{\prime} \\
0 & a_{3,2}^{\prime} & a_{3,3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1}^{\prime} \\
b_{2}^{\prime} \\
b_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Now divide row 2 by $a_{2,2}^{\prime}$ (pivot element)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{1,2}^{\prime} & a_{1,3}^{\prime} \\
0 & 1 & a_{2,3}^{\prime \prime} \\
0 & a_{3,2}^{\prime} & a_{3,3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1}^{\prime} \\
b_{1}{ }_{2} \\
b_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Now multiply row 2 by $a_{3,2}^{\prime}$ and subtract from row 3 to get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{1,2}^{\prime} & a_{1,3}^{\prime} \\
0 & 1 & a_{2,3} \\
0 & 0 & a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{3,3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1}^{\prime} \\
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Finally divide row 3 by $a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{3,3}$ (pivot element) to complete the triangulation procedure and results in the upper triangular matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{1,2}^{\prime} & a_{1,3}^{\prime} \\
0 & 1 & a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2,3} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1}^{\prime} \\
b_{1}{ }_{2} \\
b^{\prime \prime}{ }_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- We have triangularized the coefficient matrix simply by taking linear combinations of the equations
- We can very conveniently solve the upper triangularized system of equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{1,2}^{\prime} & a_{1,3}^{\prime} \\
0 & 1 & a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2,3} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1}^{\prime} \\
b_{2}{ }_{2} \\
b^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- We apply a backward substitution procedure to solve for the components of $\boldsymbol{X}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=b^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{3} \\
& x_{2}+a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2,3} x_{3}=b_{2}^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow x_{2}=b_{2}^{\prime \prime}-a_{2,3}^{\prime \prime} x_{3} \\
& x_{1}+a_{1,2}^{\prime} x_{2}+a_{1,3}^{\prime} x_{3}=b_{1}^{\prime} \Rightarrow x_{1}=b_{1}^{\prime}-a_{1,2}^{\prime} x_{2}-a_{1,3}^{\prime} x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We can also produce a lower triangular matrix and use a forward substitution procedure
- Number of operations required for Gauss elimination
- Triangularization $\frac{1}{3} N^{3}$
- Backward substitution $\frac{1}{2} N^{2}$
- Total number of operations for Gauss elimination equals $O(N)^{3}$ versus $O(N)^{4}$ for Cramer's rule
- Therefore we save $O(N)$ operations as compared to Cramer's rule


## Gauss-Jordan Elimination - A Direct Procedure

- Gauss Jordan elimination is an adaptation of Gauss elimination in which both elements above and below the pivot element are cleared to zero $\rightarrow$ the entire column except the pivot element become zeroes

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \\
b_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \\
b_{3}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \\
b_{4}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- No backward/forward substitution is necessary


## Matrix Inversion by Gauss-Jordan Elimination

- Given $\mathbf{A}$, find $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{A A}^{-1} \equiv \mathbf{I} \\
\text { where } \mathbf{I}=\text { identity matrix }=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- Procedure is similar to finding the solution of $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{B}$ except that the matrix $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ assumes the role of vector $\boldsymbol{X}$ and matrix I serves as vector $\boldsymbol{B}$
- Therefore we perform the same operations on $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{I}$
- Convert $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ through Gauss-Jordan elimination

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{A A}^{-1}=\mathbf{I} \\
\Rightarrow \\
\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{I}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

- However through the manipulations $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\prime}=\mathbf{I}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{I A}^{-1}=\mathbf{I}^{\prime} \\
\Rightarrow \\
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{I}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

- The right hand side matrix, $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$, has been transformed into the inverted matrix
- Notes:
- Inverting a diagonal matrix simply involves computing reciprocals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{33}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{A}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / a_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / a_{33}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{\mathbf { A } ^ { - 1 }}=\mathbf{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Inverse of the product relationship

$$
\left[\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{3}\right]^{-1}=\mathbf{A}_{3}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{2}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{-1}
$$

## Gauss Elimination Type Solutions to Banded Matrices

## Banded matrices

- Have non-zero entries contained within a defined number of positions to the left and right of the diagonal (bandwidth)

- Notes on banded matrices
- The advantage of banded storage mode is that we avoid storing and manipulating zero entries outside of the defined bandwidth
- Banded matrices typically result from finite difference and finite element methods (conversion from p.d.e. $\rightarrow$ algebraic equations)
- Compact banded storage mode can still be sparse (this is particularly true for large finite difference and finite element problems)


## Savings on storage for banded matrices

- $N^{2}$ for full storage versus $N M$ for banded storage
where $N=$ the size of the matrix and $M=$ the bandwidth
- Examples:

| $N$ | $M$ | full | banded | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | 20 | 160,000 | 8,000 | 20 |
| $10^{6}$ | $10^{3}$ | $10^{12}$ | $10^{9}$ | 1000 |

## Savings on computations for banded matrices

- Assuming a Gauss elimination procedure

| $O\left(N^{3}\right)$ versus | $O\left(N M^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| (full) | (banded) |

- Therefore save $O\left(N^{2} / M^{2}\right)$ operations since we are not manipulating all the zeros outside of the bands!
- Examples:

| N | M | full | banded | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | 20 | $O\left(6.4 \times 10^{7}\right)$ | $O\left(1.6 \times 10^{5}\right)$ | $O(400)$ |
| $10^{6}$ | $10^{3}$ | $O\left(10^{18}\right)$ | $O\left(10^{12}\right)$ | $O\left(10^{6}\right)$ |

## Symmetrical banded matrices

- Substantial savings on both storage and computations if we use a banded storage mode
- Even greater savings (both storage and computations) are possible if the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is symmetrical
- Therefore if $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ we need only store and operate on half the bandwidth in a banded matrix (half the matrix in a full storage mode matrix)



## Alternative Compact Storage Modes for Direct Methods

- Skyline method defines an alternative compact storage procedure for symmetrical matrices
- The skyline goes below the last non-zero element in a column

- Store all entries between skyline and diagonal into a vector as follows:
$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}A(1) & A(3) & o & A(9) & o & o \\ & A(2) & A(5) & A(8) & o & o \\ & & A(4) & A(7) & o & A(15) \\ & & A(6) & A(11) & A(14) \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \end{array}\right]$
- Accounting procedure must be able to identify the location within the matrix of elements stored in vector mode in $A(i) \Rightarrow$ Store locations of diagonal terms in $A(i)$

$$
\operatorname{MaxA}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
4 \\
6 \\
10 \\
12
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Savings in storage and computation time due to the elimination of the additional zeroes e.g. storage savings:

| full | symmetrical banded | skyline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N^{2}=36$ | $\left(\frac{M+1}{2}\right) N=\left(\frac{7+1}{2}\right) 6=24$ | 15 |

- Program COLSOL (Bathe and Wilson) available for skyline storage solution


## Problems with Gauss Elimination Procedures

## Inaccuracies originating from the pivot elements

- The pivot element is the diagonal element which divides the associated row
- As more pivot rows are processed, the number of times a pivot element has been modified increases.
- Sometimes a pivot element can become very small compared to the rest of the elements in the pivot row
- Pivot element will be inaccurate due to roundoff
- When the pivot element divides the rest of the pivot row, large inaccurate numbers result across the pivot row
- Pivot row now subtracts (after being multiplied) from all rows below the pivot row, resulting in propagation of large errors throughout the matrix!


## Partial pivoting

- Always look below the pivot element and pick the row with the largest value and switch rows


## Complete pivoting

- Look at all columns and all rows to the right/below the pivot element and switch so that the largest element possible is in the pivot position.
- For complete pivoting, you must change the order of the variable array
- Pivoting procedures give large diagonal elements
- minimize roundoff error
- increase accuracy
- Pivoting is not required when the matrix is diagonally dominant
- A matrix is diagonally dominant when the absolute values of the diagonal terms is greater than the sum of the absolute values of the off diagonal terms for each row

